

JOINED FIELD MODELLING OF GAS TUNGSTEN ARC WELDING

K.Nageswara Rao¹, Dr.B.V.R. Ravi Kumar² ¹Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering St.Martin's Engineering College, Secunderabad. ²Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering VNR Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering & Technology., Hyderabad

ABSRACT

Welding is used extensively in aerospace, automotive, chemical, manufacturing, and power-generation industries. Thermallyinduced balance stresses due to adjustment can decidedly blemish the achievement and believability of anchored structures. Numerical Recreation about weld pool flow will be vital Likewise test estimations of velocities Furthermore temperature profiles need aid was troublesome because of those little measure of the weld pool and the vicinity of the circular segment. It may be necessary to bring a exact spatial What's more fleeting warm dissemination in the welded structure in front of anxiety dissection may be performed. Existing Look into around weld pool Progress Recreation need disregarded those impact from claiming liquid stream in the weld pool on the temperature field of the welded joint. Past exploration need made that those weld pool depth/width (\mathbf{D}/\mathbf{W}) proportion What's more heat influenced Zone (HAZ) need aid fundamentally modified by the weld pool flow. Hence, for a greater amount exact estimation of the thermallyinduced stresses, it may be fancied should fuse those weld pool flow under the Investigation. Moreover, the furnishings of microstructure change in the HAZ on the automated behaviour of the anatomy charge to be included in the assay for bigger automated acknowledgment prediction. In this study, a

3D-model for the thermo-mechanical assay of Gas Tungsten Arc (GTA) adjustment of attenuate stainless animate butt-joint plates has been developed. The archetypal incorporates the furnishings of thermal activity redistribution through bond basin dynamics into the structural behaviour calculations. Through actual modelling the furnishings of microstructure change/phase transformation are alongside included in the model. The developed bond basin dynamics archetypal includes the furnishings of current, arc length, and electrode bend on the calefaction alteration and accepted body distributions and includes all the above bond basin active armament are included. The bond D/W predictions are accurate with beginning results. They accede well. The furnishings of adjustment ambit on the bond D/W arrangement are documented. The assignment allotment anamorphosis and distributions accent additionally are highlighted. The axle and longitudinal balance accent administration plots beyond the bond bean are provided. The algebraic framework developed actuality serves as a able-bodied apparatus for bigger anticipation of bond D/W arrangement and thermallyinduced accent evolution/distribution in a anchored anatomy by coupling the altered fields in a adjustment process.

Keywords: Gas Tungsten Arc Welding, Weld Pool Dynamics, Structural Analysis, Material Modelling, Marangoni Convection, Plasma Induced Shear, Electromagnetic force, Buoyancy, Thermal Stress, Residual Stress

I Introduction

Welding is used extensively in aerospace, automotive, chemical, manufacturing, electronic, and power-generation industries. Welded structures are an essential part of many buildings, bridges, ships, pressure vessels, and other engineering structures. The methodology from claiming welding is a essential analytics manufacturing methodology to Numerous building What's more structural components, Hosting An immediate impact on the integument of the parts Furthermore their warm and mechanical conduct Throughout administration. Because of those secondary temperatures presented Throughout welding and the resulting cooling of the welded structure, welding might prepare undesirable lingering focuses on Furthermore deformations. Thermally-induced remaining anxieties might have adverse effects, for example, such that stress erosion splitting and diminished weariness quality. Welding is an inherently multi-physics problem, encompassing a large array of physical phenomena - fluid flow in the weld pool, heat flow in the structure, micro evolution/phase structural transformations, thermal stress development, and distortion of the welded structure. Though simulations do not replace experiments, it is pertinent to simulate the process of welding to delineate the underlying physical processes and thereby predict the behaviour of the welded structures.

Fusion Welding: Fusion welding is the most commonly used technique in industry today because it is the easiest to learn and offers greater mobility for workers at a job site [1]. In fusion welding, a localized intense heat source is moved along the joint and this result in the melting and subsequent solidification of the adjacent areas of two parts. Similarly as those energy thickness of the heat sourball increases, those high temperature enter of the fill in bit that is required to welding abatements. Expanding heat enter on worth of effort bit prompts more excellent harm of the fill in piece, including debilitating Also twisting. Favourable circumstances about expanding the control thickness of the heat hotspot need aid deeper welds, higher welding speeds, Furthermore superior weld personal satisfaction with lesquerella harm of the work piece.

The three major types of fusion welding processes are: (i) Gas welding, (ii) Arc welding, and (iii) High-energy beam welding. Gas welding has low power density of the heat source, thus requiring greater heat input to the work piece. High-energy beam welding has high power density of the heat source and requires very low heat input to the work piece. Arc heat characteristics fall welding source intermediate between these two extremes. Arcwelding methods comprise about a cathode What's more a worth of effort bit of inverse polarities. At an circular segment will be struck between these two electrodes, present will stream through the incompletely ionized gas, and the high temperature created in the circular segment maintains the secondary temperatures required with support the gas in the incompletely ionized state. As a result, thermal energy is transferred to the work piece, causing it to melt; the subsequent solidification of this molten region, termed the weld pool, forms the weldment or actual joint. Two particular arc welding processes are (i) Gas Tungsten Arc (GTA) welding, where the tungsten electrode is non-consumable, and (ii) Gas Metal Arc (GMA) welding, where the electrode is consumable and the molten metal droplets thus produced are used to fill the joint. Though arc adjustment methods accept been in use for decades, there is not abundant abstract ability about the capacity of the absolute concrete processes. Significant empiric acquaintance exists, and simulation accoutrement accept been acclimated to appraise some of the basal physics. Most of this expertise and simulation is limited to well-known standard aspects of the arc welding process. However,

many applications now are becoming much more complex and require greater insight into the physical processes for better welding parameter selection. It is desired to correlate and optimize the welding parameters to meet the recent challenges in welding: (i) thinner sheets – metal sheets are becoming thinner and thinner, and the goal in the automotive industry is to weld shin sheets down to 0.5 mm thickness, (ii) more materials - aluminum and magnesium are becoming popular in automotive manufacturing and these need to be welded quickly and reliably, (iii) visually perfect weld – manual refinishing operations are expensive and hence strong weld deformation needs to be avoided, and (iv) speed - welding needs to be fast and robust at all times.

Gas Tungsten Arc (GTA) Welding:

GTA Welding, also known as Tungsten Inert Gas (TIG) welding, is the most widely used method to join materials in manufacturing industries [1]. Figure 1 gives a pictorial representation of the GTA welding process. Those light race considering the tungsten cathode will be associated with a protecting gas barrel and in addition particular case terminal of the control hotspot. The work piece is connected to the other terminal of the power source. The shielding gas goes through the torch body and is directed by a nozzle toward the weld pool to protect it from air. Figure 2 highlights an enlarged view of the welding region.



Figure 1: GTA welding process setup.



Figure 2: Enlarged view of GTA welding region.

Three different polarities can be used in GTA welding: (a) Direct-Current Electrode Negative (DCEN), (b) Direct-Current Electrode Positive (DCEP), and (c) Alternating Current (AC). DCEN is the most common polarity used in the GTA welding process [1]. The electrode is connected to the negative terminal of the power supply. Electrons emitted from the tungsten electrode accelerate while travelling through the arc. When the electron enters the work piece, an amount of energy, called the work function, associated with the electron is released. In DCEN configuration, more power is located at the work end of the arc. This leads to a relatively deep and narrow weld. In case of DCEP, the heating effect of electrons is at the tungsten electrode rather than at the work piece. Consequently, a shallow weld is produced. DCEP however provides a good oxide cleaning action. The AC configuration gives reasonable depth of weld with oxide cleaning action and is used mainly for welding aluminum alloys. Both argon and helium can be used as shielding gases, with argon providing better shielding characteristics -(i) arc initiation is easier as is it easier to ionize, and (ii) argon being heavier than helium provides more effective shielding.

The GTA welding transport processes for the weld pool are: (i) heat and current flux distributions to the weld pool, (ii) interaction of the arc with the free surface and possible surface deformation, (iii) convective heat transfer due to fluid flow in the weld pool, (iv) thermal conduction into the solid work piece, (v) convective and radioactive heat losses, (vi) transient solidification and melting, (vii)

electromagnetic stirring due to the divergent Thermocapillary current path, (viii) or Marangoni convection due to surface tension gradient, and (ix) buoyancy in the weld pool. The various weld pool dynamics phenomena in GTA welding are not fully understood. The prime concern is to be able to predict the depth and width of the weld pool and develop a clear understanding of how the properties of the materials govern the choice of actual welding parameters, i.e. welding current, speed, gas purge flow etc. [1]. It has been found that conduction and convection in the weld pool determines how the weld pool shape develops. While conduction depends on the properties of the metal plate being joined, the convection in the melt pool is determined by the various driving forces (transport processes), namely, buoyancy force, electromagnetic force (Lorentz force), surface tension force (i.e. shear stress induced by surface tension gradient at the weld pool surface, known as Marangoni convection), plasma (arc) drag force, force due to arc pressure, etc. For the GTA weld pool, heat transfer and fluid flow are driven by a complex interplay of all these driving forces. Hence, to obtain a good quality weld in GTA welding processes, it is absolutely necessary to choose an appropriate set of welding parameters. Fig.3 Heat Flow in Welding: A schematic representation of the qualitative energy balance of the welding process [1] that accounts for the arc and melting efficiencies is schematically shown in Fig. 1.2. The majority of the total energy from the process is provided by the welding arc, while a small portion is generated at the electrode. The energy generated by the arc and the electrode is distributed in two ways: (i) a portion is lost to the environment, represented by Elosses, and (ii) remainder is transferred to the work piece, represented by Ew / p. The net energy delivered to the work piece is also distributed in two ways: (i) a portion is used for melting of the fusion zone, represented by EFZ, and (ii) remainder is transmitted to the adjacent substrate outside of the fusion zone, represented by Esub

contributes to the formation of the heat-affected zone (HAZ).



Figure 3: Energy distribution in the welding process.

Fluid Flow in Weld Pools:

The driving forces for fluid flow in the weld pool include the buoyancy force, the Lorentz force, the shear stress acting on the pool surface due to the arc plasma, and the shear stress induced by the surface tension gradient, as represented schematically in Fig. 4. Even though the arc pressure acts on the pool surface, but its effect on the fluid flow is small, especially below 200A [1]. Each of the driving forces is discussed below.



Figure 4: Driving forces for fluid flow in the weld pool.

The Multi-Physics of Welding: - fluid flow in the weld pool, heat flow in the welded structure, microstructural evolution/phase

transformations, thermal stress development, and distortion of the welded structure. Modelling of welding processes encapsulating these complex phenomena is an inherent multi-physics problem. The automated acknowledgment of welds is acute to the abutting coupling amid thermal activity distribution, microstructure change and automated (deformation/stress) behaviour. Figure 5 describes the coupling between the different fields in the modelling of welding. The solid arrows denote strong coupling and the dotted arrows denote weak coupling.



Figure 5: Coupling between different fields in welding analysis.

Microstructure Evolution:

During welding the work piece material undergoes significant change in the microstructure and properties due to transformations induced by the thermal cycles. In order to better understand microstructure change and their effects on the mechanical response of welded structures, some basic understanding of solidification is necessary. Solidification mode varies across the weld metal and is affected by welding parameters. post-solidification Moreover, the phase transformations also change the solidification microstructure and properties of the weld metal. The effect of the temperature gradient G and the growth rate R on the solidification microstructure of alloys is represented in Fig. 6. Together, G and R dominate the solidification microstructure. The ratio G / R determines the mode of solidification while the product G * R

governs the size of the solidification structure [1].



Figure 6: Effect of temperature gradient G and growth rate R on the morphology and size of solidification microstructure.

Modelling and Simulation of GTA Welding: In GTA welding, a localized intense heat source (arc) is moved along the joint and this result in the melting and subsequent solidification of the adjacent areas of the two parts. Here, the thermal energy from the arc is transferred to the work piece, causing it to melt, forming the molten region known as the weld pool. Subsequent solidification of the weld pool forms the weldment or actual joint. The GTA welding transport processes are: (i) heat and current flux distributions to the weld pool, (ii) interaction of the arc with the free surface and possible surface deformation, (iii) convective heat transfer due to fluid flow in the weld pool, (iv) thermal conduction into the solid work piece, (v) convective and radioactive heat losses, (vi) transient solidification and melting, (vii) electromagnetic stirring due to divergent current path, (viii) thermocapillary or Marangoni convection due to surface tension gradient, (ix) plasma induced shear stress, and (x) buoyancy in the weld pool. Figure 4.1 highlights the schematic model for the moving GTA welding process and highlights the coordinate system. The gas tungsten arc moves along the z-axis and hence the problem is symmetric about the yzplane.



Figure 7: Schematic of a moving GTA welding process.

Boundary Conditions for Structural (Stress) Analysis: Since the problem is symmetric about the weld line, stress analysis is also performed for only one-half of the work piece, utilizing the symmetry boundary condition. The boundary conditions used for the structural analysis are as follows (refer Fig. 7)

Surface ABCD: This surface is the symmetry face. It is prevented from moving or deforming in the normal direction, thus representing an equivalent symmetry condition. It is defined as a frictionless face in the FE solver. Edges AA', BB', CC', and DD': These edges are fixed, i.e. they cannot move or deform. However, rotation is permitted about the edges, i.e. the plate can bend. This constraint is equivalent to clamping the edges, thus holding the two work pieces together. Other Surfaces: They have no constraints defined and are hence free to move or



Figure 8: Structural analysis boundary conditions definition.

Results and Discussion:

The variation of the plasma induced shear on the weld pool surface with current is shown in Fig. 9. Validation with previous simulation data is also highlighted in the same figure for a current of 200A. They agree well. It can be seen that the gas shear is zero at the arc center, increases abruptly in an almost linear fashion, and then rapidly decreases to zero. The maximum outward plasma induced shear increases with increase in current.



Figure 9: Variation of plasma induced shear stress on weld pool surface with current.

In case of increase in electrode tip angle, the heat flux on the weld pool surface increases, i.e. the blunter the tip becomes, the arc constriction increases, resulting in higher heat flux. However, the increase is very small. Also, it is noted that the heat distribution of the arc has no change with increase in electrode tip angle. Hence, effect on the weld pool driving forces in negligible. Figure 10 highlights the definition of electrode tip angle



Figure 10: Heat flux distribution variation with current, under 3mm arc length and 60 degree electrode angle.

The current density distributions also follow similar trends, validating previous published

results [76], and are highlighted in Fig. 11-13. Increasing the current significantly increases the current density and has a significant effect on the electromagnetic (Lorentz) force. Hence. increasing the current strengthens the radially inward flow under DCEN configuration. This would result in a deeper and narrower weld, thus increasing the D/W ratio. Decreasing the arc length or electrode gap results in increased current density. This would result in higher D/W ratio due to strengthening of the Lorentz force. However, this effect is relatively weaker compared to when the welding current is increased. Increasing the electrode tip angle effectively results in greater constriction of the arc. However, this has negligible or no effect on the current density distribution. Hence, change in the electrode tip angle has almost negligible effect on D/W ratio. These variation patterns validate the proper inclusion of the welding current, arc length, and electrode angle effects on the current density distribution.



Figure 11: Current density distribution variation with current, under 3mm arc length and 60 degree electrode angle.



Figure 12: Current density distribution variation with arc length, under 200A welding current and 60 degree electrode angle.





Conclusion and Future Work:

The specific contributions of this dissertation include (i) incorporating the thermal energy redistribution due to weld pool dynamics into the thermo-mechanical modelling of welded joints by coupling the weld pool dynamics field with the remaining fields in the welding process, (ii) incorporating all the major and contributing driving forces into the weld pool dynamics modelling, (iii) incorporating the effects of arc length/electrode gap and electrode angle on the arc heat flux and current density distributions, (iv) indirectly incorporating the microstructure influence on mechanical behaviour through material modelling, and (v) showing through simulations that employment of temperaturedependent thermophysical properties give better weld D/W ratio prediction. In the present investigation, a numerical model has been developed for the coupled field analysis of GTA welding process. The arc heat flux and current density distribution on the work piece have been compared with experimental data from existing literature. They agree well and prove correct incorporation of arc effects in the weld pool dynamics model. The weld D/W ratio obtained from the simulations has been compared with experimental data. The agreement is better when temperature-dependent thermophysical properties were employed instead of constant values.

References:

Kou, S. (2002) "Welding Metallurgy,"
 Second Ed., John Wiley & Sons Publication
 Rosenthal, D. (1941) "Mathematical theory of heat distribution during welding and cutting," Welding Journal, 20:5:220s-234s

[3] Rosenthal, D. (1946) "The theory of moving source of heat and its application to metal treatments," ASME Transactions, 48:848-866

[4] Woods, R. A. and Milner, D. R. (1971) "Motion in weld pool in arc welding," Welding Journal, 50:4:163s-173s

[5] Heiple, C. R. and Roper, J. R. (1982) "Mechanism for minor element effect on GTA fusion zone geometry," Weld. J., 61:97s-102s

[6] Heiple, C. R. and Roper, J. R. (1982) "Effects of minor elements of GTAW fusion zone shape," Trends in Welding Research in the United States, 489-520

[7] Atthey, D. R. (1980) "A mathematical model for fluid flow in a weld pool at high currents," J. Fluid Mech., 98:4:787-801

[8] Oreper, G. M., Eagar, T.W. and Szekely,J. (1983) "Convection in arc weld pools,"Welding Journal, 62:11:307-312

[9] Oreper, G. M. and Szekely, J. (1984) "Heat- and fluid-flow phenomena in weld pools," J. Fluid Mech., 147:53-79

[10] Kou, S. and Sun, D. K. (1985) "Fluid flow and weld penetration in stationary arc welds," Metallurgical Transactions A, 16A:203-213 [11] Kou, S. and Wang, Y. H. (1986) "Weld pool convection and its effect," Welding Journal,65:3:63s-70s

[12] Heiple, C. R., Roper, J. R., Stagner, R. T. and Aden, R. J. (1983) "Surface active element effects on the shape of GTA, Laser, and electron beam welds" Weld. J., 62:72s-77s

[13] Bennett, W. S. and Mills, G. S. (1974) "GTA weldability studies on high manganese stainless steel," Welding Journal, 53:12:548s-553s

[14] Heiple, C. R. and Roper, J. R. (1981) "Effect of selenium on GTAW fusion zone geometry," Welding Journal, 60:8:143s-145s

[15] Heiple, C. R. and Burgardt, P. (1985) "Effects of SO2 shielding gas additions on GTA weld shape," Welding Journal, 64:6:159s-162s

[16] Sahoo, P., Debroy, T. and McNallan, M.
J. (1988) "Surface tension of binary metal – Surface active solute systems under conditions relevant to welding metallurgy," Metallurgical Transactions B, 19B:483-491

[17] Zacharia, T., David, S. A., Vitek, J. M. and Debroy, T. (1989) "Weld pool development during GTA and laser beam welding of type 304 stainless steel part I. Theoretical analysis," Welding Journal, 68:12:499s-509s.