

#### INTERNET BANKING SYSTEM – A TOOL TO ENHANCE CUSTOMER LOYALTY IN SELF SERVICE TECHNOLOGY ERA

Dr.B.Venkateswara Prasad<sup>1</sup>, Usman Mohideen K S<sup>2</sup>, Dr. R Suresh<sup>3</sup>

1,3</sup>Associate Professor, <sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor

Department of Management Studies, Sri Sai Ram Engineering College, Chennai

#### **ABSTRACT**

The banking services have been dynamic during the last decade due to the advent and application of the Internet. One of the most vital challenges of the Internet is providing and maintaining service quality. Service quality is base for customer trust which becomes satisfaction and leads to loyalty. Customer loyalty is a concern of any organizations, banking sector is no exception to this. The purpose of this research was to gain better understanding of the impact of the Internet Banking on customer lovalty. Based on detailed literature review, a frame of reference was developed which helped to yield answer for research questions and guide to data collection. Empirical data were collected through questionnaires with the research questions and the frame of reference. The collected data are tested against the hypothesis using chi-square test and Pearson's correlation is used to identify the strength of relationship between the service quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty. In the research it was found that the Internet has affected from two different directions on customer lovalty. Another most important finding of this study is service quality forms an integral part of loyalty creation.

Index Terms: Customer Loyalty, Customer Satisfaction, Internet Banking, Self Service Technology

#### INTRODUCTION

The Banking services have undergone many changes during the last few decades with the growth of the Internet in the banking sector. According to Liljander and Strandvik (1995) bank loyalty as the biased behavioral response expressed overtime, by some decision –making

unit with respect to one bank out of a set of banks, which is a psychological (decision making and evaluative) processes resulting in brand commitment. Customer loyalty is defined as the "degree to which a customer repeats his/her purchasing behavior from a service provider, possesses a positive attitude toward the provider, and considers using only this service provider whenever need arises" (Gremler and Brown, 1996). The above definition signifies loyalty as an action and how the customer is committed towards a product or service, which includes emotional attachment, identification and involvement (Allen & Meyer, 1990).

#### NEED FOR THE STUDY

The way people use banks and their services have changed. A few years ago, almost all service encounters were in the form of personal meetings between a service provider and a customer. Today banking on the Internet, demonstrate no interpersonal contact between both the party the service provider namely. and customer. Customer loyalty in service industries has received attention in both marketing and management theory and practice. As customer loyalty may act as a barrier to customer's switching behavior it has an impact on the development of a sustainable competitive edge. Although many researches and projects have been conducted on this project before, this project is not redundant because e-banking is a very dynamic subject in today's scenario and hence it needs to be constantly updated and studied.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

The primary objective of the study is to know the loyalty of the customer towards the internet banking by means of analyzing various factors linked with the customers and banks.

#### LITERATURE SURVEY

#### **Customer Loyalty**

Oliver (1997) defined customer loyalty as a deeply held commitment to rebuy or patronize a preferred product or service consistently in the future, thereby causing repetitive same brand or same brad set purchasing, despite situational influences and marketing efforts having the potential to cause a switching behavior.

Dick and Basu (2001), loyalty is a state of mind, such is, it's perceived importance that it has claimed that customer loyalty is emerging as the marketplace currency for the 21 century and that it represents an important basis for developing sustainable competitive advantage. The frequent assumption is that loyalty translates into unspecified number of repeat purchases from the same supplier over a specified period

Reichheld & Sasser, (1990), there is a positive relationship between customer loyalty and profitability. Increase in profit from loyalty is as a result of reduced marketing costs, increased sales and reduced operational costs. However loyal customers are less likely to switch because of price and they make more purchases than similar non-loyal customers.

#### **Customer Trust**

Reichheld, F.F. and Schefter, P. (2000), Trust is proposed as another important antecedent of loyalty. The trust concept has been studied in a number of disciplines, and various definitions have been proposed (Lewicki, 1998). Trust is consistently related to the vulnerability of the trustor (Bigley & Pearce, 1998), because without vulnerability of the trustor upon the trustee, trust becomes irrelevant. In business studies, trust has been found to be important for building and maintaining long-term relationships (Geyskens, 1996).

#### **Customer Satisfaction**

McGoldrick and Andre (1997), argues that the term loyalty is been used loosely and includes affection, fidelity or commitment. For this reason, customer satisfaction is been used as a measure of loyalty because it has been assumed that satisfaction affects buying intentions in a positive way. Loyalty is seen to be difficult to define and measure. The problem lies in identifying whether loyalty is an attitudinal or behavioral measure.

Gummerus (2004), customer satisfaction is positively related to loyalty in traditional services and a criterion of a company's past, current and future performance. In the online

context, satisfaction has a stronger impact on loyalty than offline, as searching for alternative providers offline is more cost intensive.

## **Objectives of Customer Loyalty Programs** with the Advent of the Internet

Gremler & Brown, (1996), the development of customer loyalty is one of the most important issues organization face today. Creating loval customers has become more and more important. This is due to the fact that competition is increasing, as never before, which has a great impact on many companies. To deal with this high concentrated market, businesses are attempting not only to attract and satisfy customers but also create a long-term relationship with these customers Gould (1995) mentioned that creating satisfied and loyal customer is one of the most focal objectives of loyalty programs. It was argued that creating satisfied and loyal customers is a critical matter for many corporations survival. In the past decades many firms have (re)adopted a customer focus - often through a formal program of customer relationship management (CRM)

# The Internet as a Support to Activities in Creating Loyal Customers

(Peterson, 1997), in a competitive market place understanding customer's needs become an important factor. As a result companies have moved from a product-centric to a customer's centric position. Satisfaction is also of great interest to practitioners because of its important effect on customer retention. (Khalifa & Liu, 2003), Retention is a major challenge particularly in the Internet based services, (Van Riel, 2001), as customers can easily switch from one service provider to another at low cost considering the high costs of acquiring new customers and the apparently high customer satisfaction.

#### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

**RESEARCH TYPE:** Descriptive study is used for this research project.

DATA COLLECTION METHOD: Primary Data is collected through Structured Questionnaire. The scaling used in this research is the 5-point Likert scale & Secondary Data is collected through Websites, Published Journals, and Magazines.

**SAMPLING TECHNIQUE:** Non-Probability Convenience sampling technique is used for this study. Convenience sampling is used as the each member of sampling unit are fit to be chosen as

a sample for the research.

PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS

Recommend to family & friends

Derived benefits

Trustworthiness

Friendliness of System

**SAMPLE SIZE:** Sample size for this study is 119.

**SAMPLING UNIT:** The Sample unit for this study is the Internet Banking users of selected private sector banks.

**DATA ANALYSIS** AND INTERPRETATION: Data is interpreted and analyzed through Percentage DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Correlation and Chi Square.

#### **LIMITATIONS**

- Time constraint.
- Respondents are reluctant to spend time in filling the questionnaire.
- Meeting with respondent was very difficult due to their non-availability of time.

GENDER	%	AG	E	%	E	bankiı	ıg U	sage		%	Qualificat	ion	%	
Male	68	21-25				< 2 years			8	Undergraduate		55		
Female	32	26-	33	52	2.	-4 years				32	Postgradua	ite	40	
		33-		20		-6 years				23	Doctoral		5	
			>6 years			37								
TOTAL	100		,	100		o y cars				100			100	
Banks	ICICI		HDF		KOT	ΓAK	ID	RI	C	ITI	AXIS	TOT		
%	22	18 13		28		15			3		100			
Statements 15					Strong Agree		Agree		Neutral	Disagree	Str	Strongly Disagree		
Efficiency of Software						15		67		12	3		2	
Comfort in Reading Characters						5		69		15	6	5	5	
Clarity in Organizing Information						13			11	4 5				
Easiness in Operating						28 70			2	0 0				
Usefulness of Help Message						18		58		2	5 6			
Speed of Website						18		58		17	4 3			
Easiness in Correcting Mistakes						23		55		10	8 4			
Faster Response						10		53		32	5 0			
Confirmation for Transaction						40		48		1	5 6			
Quicker Solution to Problem						53		32		8	2 5			
Prompt Service					32		47		8	9 4				
Service Convenience					30		35		10	15 10				
Information based on Need					12		68		8	7 5				
Accuracy of Link					28		60		1	9 2				
Privacy Policy						18		65		5	9 3			
Guarantees of Service						10		62		8	4 6			
Provision of Aspects						12		75		8	3 2			
Safety						22		43		7	18 10			
Confidentiality						18		62		13	5 2			
Security from hackers						22		62		12	3 2			
Lesser possibility of transaction loss						13		72		5	5 5			
Adequateness of Security Policy						12		63		12	1 4			
Need Fulfillment						10		68		2	11 9 8 3			
Satisfaction of Purchase Decision						12 10		67 88		10	8		0	
Joy in E-Banking Satisfaction						8		75		8	6	3		
Comfort ability					23		63		7	6 3				
						31	62		2	5	0			
Assurance in Transaction						31	02		2	3	U			

6

2

10

12

3

4

3

2

4

3

78

83

42

65

12

10

40

17

# STATISTICAL ANALYSIS CORRELATION AMONG USER INTERFACE (UI), RESPONSIVENESS (RS), FULFILLMENT (FT), SECURITY (ST), CUSTOMER SATISFACTION (CS) AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY (CL) HYPOTHESIS

- 1. There is a significant and positive effect between user interface and customer satisfaction.
- 2. There is a significant and positive effect between Responsiveness and customer satisfaction.
- 3. There is a significant and positive effect between need fulfillment and customer satisfaction.
- 4. There is a significant and positive effect between security and customer satisfaction.
- 5. There is a significant and positive effect between service quality and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty.

	CS	CL
UI	0.886	0.798
RS	0.635	0.573
FT	0.769	0.882
ST	0.561	0.541
CS	ı	0.868
CL	0.868	-

Coefficient of correlation(r) is 0.886, 0.769, 0.868 for User Interface and Customer Satisfaction, Fulfillment and Customer Satisfaction, Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty respectively. Hence there exist a High Positive Correlation.

Coefficient of correlation(r) is 0.635 & 0.561 for Responsiveness and Customer Satisfaction & and Security and Customer Satisfaction respectively. Hence there exist a Moderate Positive Correlation.

#### **HYPOTHESIS**

**H<sub>o</sub>:** There is a significant relationship between user interface and customer satisfaction

**H**<sub>1</sub>: There is no significant relationship between user interface and customer satisfaction.

The degree of freedom is  $(3-1) \times (2-1) = 2$ , from  $\chi^2$  table, with  $\alpha$ =0.05 and d.f. (degree of freedom) = 2,  $\chi_u^2$  = 5.991, Calculated  $\chi^2$  < Tabulated  $\chi_u^2$ . Calculated value is lesser than tabulated value, we accept  $H_o$ . Hence there is significant relationship between user interface and customer satisfaction.

#### DATA RELATED TO THE RELATIOSHIP BETWEEN RESPONSIVENESS AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

**H<sub>o</sub>:** There is a significant relationship between responsiveness and customer satisfaction

**H**<sub>1</sub>: There is no significant relationship between responsiveness and customer satisfaction.

The degree of freedom is  $(3-1) \times (2-1) = 2$ , from  $\chi^2$  table, with  $\alpha$ =0.05 and d.f. (degree of freedom) = 2,  $\chi_u^2$  = 5.991, Calculated  $\chi^2$  < Tabulated  $\chi_u^2$ . Calculated value is lesser than tabulated value, we accept H<sub>o</sub>. Hence there is significant relationship between responsiveness and customer satisfaction.

#### DATA RELATED TO THE RELATIOSHIP BETWEEN FULFILLMENT AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

**H<sub>o</sub>:** There is a significant relationship between fulfillment and customer satisfaction

**H**<sub>1</sub>: There is no significant relationship between fulfillment and customer satisfaction.

The degree of freedom is  $(3-1) \times (2-1) = 2$ , from  $\chi^2$  table, with  $\alpha$ =0.05 and d.f. (degree of freedom) = 2,  $\chi_u^2$  = 5.991, Calculated  $\chi^2$  < Tabulated  $\chi_u^2$ . Calculated value is lesser than tabulated value, we accept  $H_0$ . Hence there is significant relationship between fulfillment and customer satisfaction.

# DATA RELATED TO THE RELATIOSHIP BETWEEN SECURITY AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

**H<sub>o</sub>:** There is a significant relationship between security and customer satisfaction

**H**<sub>1</sub>: There is no significant relationship between security and customer satisfaction.

The degree of freedom is (3-1) x (2-1) = 2, from  $\chi^2$  table, with  $\alpha$ =0.05 and d.f. (degree of freedom) = 2,  $\chi_u^2$  = 5.991, Calculated  $\chi^2$  < Tabulated  $\chi_u^2$ . Calculated value is lesser than tabulated value, we accept  $H_o$ . Hence there is significant relationship between security and customer satisfaction.

#### DATA RELATED TO THE RELATIOSHIP BETWEEN CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY

**H<sub>o</sub>:** There is a significant relationship between security and customer satisfaction

**H**<sub>1</sub>: There is no significant relationship between security and customer satisfaction.

The degree of freedom is (3-1) x (2-1) = 2, from  $\chi^2$  table, with  $\alpha$ =0.05 and d.f. (degree of freedom) = 2,  $\chi_u^2$  = 5.991, Calculated  $\chi^2$  < Tabulated  $\chi_u^2$ . Calculated value is lesser than tabulated value, we accept H<sub>0</sub>. Hence there is significant

relationship between security and customer satisfaction.

### SUGGESTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- System is an important factor to improve the responsiveness. Bank should upgrade the systems and network and increase the nationwide bandwidth, servers and the system storage capacity to support the growing demand of internet banking users in the future.
- Banks should provide the instruction for main services on internet banking such as guideline for money transfer, checking balance etc.
- Security is most important factor not only for online customer service, but also for whole part of internet baking services. Banks should improve the tolls, better awareness and more effective processes about the security.
- Feeling of safety while using internet banking should be developed by banks to the customers by making them aware of the security feature that the banks has and guidelines towards the right way of accessing the account information.
- Banks should gather the customer's expectations and design their service accordingly so that the customer can easily use e-banking website.
- Banks should arrange the demonstration programs by conducting workshops for the clients to enjoy the services properly.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Customers seem to be content with and trusting their Internet bank. The feeling of satisfaction seems to be connected to repeat visit and they tend to recommend to friends and family members. Customers also looking for the instructions and process to follow while using the internet banking. Customers are willing to use internet banking for every transaction wherever it can be used and they feel comfortable in using it. Customers do not seem eager to customize or try new services. Internet bank customers do show indications of loyalty. They feel that they got many benefits and feel friendly and have trust and satisfaction in using internet banking. User Interface, Responsiveness, Fulfillment and Security has positive correlation and significant relationship with Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty. Customer Satisfaction also has positive correlation with Customer Loyalty.

#### DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

Since relations between Internet banks and their customers are complex, we think that for the future it would be interesting to examine an Internet bank's relations to its customers from a deeper perspective. What do banks do to create relations to their Internet bank customers? How well does a bank's apprehensions of service correspond quality to its customer's apprehension of service quality? For these purposes we recommend a qualitative study among bank personnel as well as among Internet bank customers. With a qualitative study it would be possible to expound a deeper understanding of the subject. It would also be interesting to do a quantitative study of customer loyalty among Internet bank customers with a greater selection of customers. Does customer loyalty differ in cities compared to on the countryside? The study also can further extended by comparing private sector banks with public sector banks.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Anderson, R.E. and Srinivasan, S.S. (2003), "E-satisfaction and e-loyalty: a contingency framework", Psychology and Marketing, Vol. 20 No. 2, pp. 123-38.
- 2. Broderick, A.J. and Vachirapornpuk, S. (2002), 'Service quality in Internet banking: the importance of customer role', Marketing Intelligence and Planning, Vol. 20 No. 6, pp. 327-335.
- 3. Bryant, A., and B. Colledge, (2002), "Trust in Electronic Commerce Business Relationships," Journal of Electronic Commerce Research, Vol. 3, No. 2: 32-39.
- 4. Ganapathy, S., C. Ranganathan, and B. Sankaranarayanan (2004), "Visualization Strategies and Tools for Enhancing Customer Relationship Management," Communications of the ACM, Vol. 47, No. 11:93-99.
- 5. Gefan, D., (2000), "Customer Loyalty in e-commerce", Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 3, 27-57.
- 6. Parasuraman, A. and Grewal, D. (2000), 'The Impact of Technology on the Quality- Value-Loyalty Chain, A research Agenda', Journal of the

- Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 28 No. 1, pp. 168-174.
- 7. Reichheld, F.F. and Schefter, P. (2000), "E-loyalty: your secret weapon on the web", Harvard Business Review.
- 8. Reinartz, W.J. and Kumar, V. (2002), "The mismanagement of customer loyalty", Harvard Business Review,
- 9. Solomon, M.R. (1999), Consumer Behavior, 4 ed., Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- 10. Tom Jackle (2004) "Customer Satisfaction, Service Quality and perceived value: An integrative model" Journal of Marketing Management vol.20, issue 7/8, PP.897-917
- 11. Zeithaml, V.A., Parasuraman, A. and Malhotra, A. (2002), "Service quality delivery through web sites: a critical review of extant knowledge", Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, Vol. 30 No. 4, pp. 362-75.